Case 2:05-cv-00745-MJP Document 3	4 Filed 08/15/05 Page 1 of 2	
UNITED STATES WESTERN DISTRIC	Γ OF WASHINGTON	
AT SEATTLE		
BEECHWOOD DEVP., LLC,		
Plaintiff,	NO. C05-745P	
OLYMPUS TERRACE SEWER DISTRICT, et al.,	ORDER REQUIRING SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION REGARDING	
Defendente	JURISDICTION	
Defendants.	JURISDICTION	
Defendants.  With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus		
	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter	
With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter lowever, the Court cannot determine if diversity	
With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus jurisdiction in this matter is diversity jurisdiction.	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter lowever, the Court cannot determine if diversity  L.L.C. discloses the citizenship of each member of	
With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus jurisdiction in this matter is diversity jurisdiction. His jurisdiction exists until Plaintiff Beechwood Devp. I	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter lowever, the Court cannot determine if diversity  L.L.C. discloses the citizenship of each member of ard Dept. Stores, Inc., 357 F.3d 827, 829 (8th Cir.	
With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus jurisdiction in this matter is diversity jurisdiction. His jurisdiction exists until Plaintiff Beechwood Devp. In the L.L.C. GMAC Commercial Credit LLC v. Dilla	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter lowever, the Court cannot determine if diversity L.L.C. discloses the citizenship of each member of ard Dept. Stores, Inc., 357 F.3d 827, 829 (8th Cir. tizenship is that of its members for diversity	
With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus jurisdiction in this matter is diversity jurisdiction. His jurisdiction exists until Plaintiff Beechwood Devp. In the L.L.C. GMAC Commercial Credit LLC v. Dilla 2004) (citing Carden and holding that an "LLC's citing Carden".	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter lowever, the Court cannot determine if diversity L.L.C. discloses the citizenship of each member of ard Dept. Stores, Inc., 357 F.3d 827, 829 (8th Cir. tizenship is that of its members for diversity atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 586 (2004)	
With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus jurisdiction in this matter is diversity jurisdiction. En jurisdiction exists until Plaintiff Beechwood Devp. In the L.L.C. GMAC Commercial Credit LLC v. Dilla 2004) (citing Carden and holding that an "LLC's cirjurisdiction purposes); see also Grupo Dataflux v. And the commercial Credit LLC v. And the commercial Credit LLC v. Dilla 2004) (citing Carden and holding that an "LLC's cirjurisdiction purposes); see also Grupo Dataflux v. And the commercial Credit LLC v. And the commercial Credit LLC v. Dilla 2004) (citing Carden and holding that an "LLC's cirjurisdiction purposes); see also Grupo Dataflux v. And the commercial Credit LLC v. And	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter lowever, the Court cannot determine if diversity L.L.C. discloses the citizenship of each member of and Dept. Stores, Inc., 357 F.3d 827, 829 (8th Cir. cizenship is that of its members for diversity atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 586 (2004) as never ruled on the issue, Courts of Appeals have	
With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus jurisdiction in this matter is diversity jurisdiction. Find jurisdiction exists until Plaintiff Beechwood Devp. In the L.L.C. GMAC Commercial Credit LLC v. Dilla 2004) (citing Carden and holding that an "LLC's cirjurisdiction purposes); see also Grupo Dataflux v. A (noting with approval that "[a]Ithough the Court has	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter lowever, the Court cannot determine if diversity L.L.C. discloses the citizenship of each member of ard Dept. Stores, Inc., 357 F.3d 827, 829 (8th Cir. cizenship is that of its members for diversity atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 586 (2004) as never ruled on the issue, Courts of Appeals have that for diversity purposes"); Carden v. Arkoma	
With the dismissal of Plaintiff's federal caus jurisdiction in this matter is diversity jurisdiction. Find jurisdiction exists until Plaintiff Beechwood Devp. In the L.L.C. GMAC Commercial Credit LLC v. Dilla 2004) (citing Carden and holding that an "LLC's cirjurisdiction purposes); see also Grupo Dataflux v. A (noting with approval that "[a]lthough the Court has held the citizenship of each member of an LLC court	e of action, the sole basis for subject matter lowever, the Court cannot determine if diversity L.L.C. discloses the citizenship of each member of and Dept. Stores, Inc., 357 F.3d 827, 829 (8th Cir. cizenship is that of its members for diversity atlas Global Group, L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 586 (2004) as never ruled on the issue, Courts of Appeals have not for diversity purposes"); Carden v. Arkoma action in a suit by or against the entity depends on	

ORDER ON DIVERSITY JURISDICTION - 1

## 

1	Defendants have a response to Plaintiff's submission, such response, if any, shall be filed and served
2	within five (5) days of the date of Plaintiffs' submission. The Court will not consider Plaintiff's
3	pending motion for summary judgment until it determines that subject matter jurisdiction exists.
4	Accordingly, the Court will renote the motion for six days after Plaintiff submits the requested
5	information.
6	The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to all counsel of record.
7	Dated: August 15, 2005
8	
9	Marshy Helins
10	Marsha J. Pechman United States District Court
11	United States District Court
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 ORDER ON DIVERSITY JURISDICTION - 2